

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,255	11/24/2003	J. Devin MacKenzie	KOV-015	6086
36872	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
THE LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW D. FORTNEY, PH.D., P.C. 401 W FALLBROOK AVE STE 204			TOBERGTE, NICHOLAS J	
FRESNO, C	FRESNO, CA 93711-5835		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2022	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,255	MACKENZIE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicholas J. Tobergte	2823
The MAILING DATE of this communication		h the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. To period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT To statute, cause the application to become AB.	ATION. ply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 November 2003	
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice up		
Disposition of Claims		
·	nation	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	illidiawii iloiii consideration.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-78 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
	rominor	
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ov the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 H S C S	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 35 0.3.0. §	1 10(a)-(a) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
		onlication No
	uments have been received in A	pplication re:
2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	ne priority documents have been	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I	ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
2. Certified copies of the priority doc3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I	ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
Certified copies of the priority doct Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for Attachment(s)	ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). r a list of the certified copies not	received in this National Stage received.
Certified copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the application from the International It * See the attached detailed Office action for the internation of the certified copies of the application from the International It is a second content of the certified copies of the priority documents of the prior	ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). r a list of the certified copies not	received in this National Stage

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-48 and 79-81, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 4.
- II. Claims 49-55, drawn to a composition, classified in class 430, subclass270.
- III. Claims 56-64, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, subclass 499.
- IV. Claims 65-78, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass620.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. For example, the apparatus can be used to deposit and expose a photoresist.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

Application/Control Number: 10/722,255

Art Unit: 2823

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example the nanoparticles can be grown on the substrate rather then deposited.

Inventions IV and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus. For example the apparatus can be a batch type apparatus with separate chambers for the deposition step and the irradiation step.

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. For example the product can be used in a process other than for use in repairing a circuit, for example it could be used during the formation of a circuit.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2823

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas J. Tobergte whose telephone number is 571-272-6006. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thur 7am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M